

When Justice Fails

A Portland Story of Fraud, Collusion & Cybercrime

Chapter 206 – The Comparison of Josh Duggar and Max Zweizig Forensic Reports

👤 Tim Rote 📁 Uncategorized ⌚ December 10, 2021 January 13, 2022 ⌵ 6 Minutes

A jury, on Thursday December 9, 2021, found reality TV star Josh Duggar guilty of receipt of child pornography and possession of child pornography, according to the judgement filed in the United States District Court Western District of Arkansas Fayetteville Division.

Let's consider and compare what was found on Zweizig's computer to what was found on Duggar's and compare the 2005 data (Zweizig) to the 2019 data (Duggar). I still have the hard drive and have had a new forensic report prepared.

In 2018, Arbitrator Bill Crow confirmed to me that Kugler and Mosman asked him to ignore the forensic reports produced during the arbitration with Max Zweizig in order to punish me for making the Sandra Ware/Max Zweizig connection to FISA Judge Robert Kugler. Those reports were generated by forensic experts Steve Williams, Mark Cox and Justin McAnn (Zweizig's forensic expert). All three testified during the arbitration and corroborated their respective reports.

Crow conceded to the demands made on him. Crow's arbitration opinion awarding Zweizig \$70k is devoid of any references to the forensic reports or other witness testimony that confirmed Zweizig (1) was fired for attempted extortion before his false complaint of overbilling; (2) destroyed programming leading to the shutdown of his employer (Computer Fraud and Abuse Act); (3) had in his possession 500,000 identity records stolen from his employer (Zweizig was the head of the IT department and had access to all the servers); (4) partitioned his employer issued computer and 120 gig hard drive where he maintained child porn, porn, music and videos; and (5) reformatted that 120 gig hard drive on his last day of employment, falsely claiming it failed.

In a federal trial in 2018, Judge Hernandez granted Zweizig's Motion to exclude the forensic reports by the three experts on an argument that arbitrator Crow had already opined on the credibility of the forensic reports. In fact Crow did not. See his arbitration Opinion here [Ex 505 Arbitrator Opinion \(https://thefirstdutyportland.files.wordpress.com/2018/05/ex-505-arbitrator-opinion.pdf\)](https://thefirstdutyportland.files.wordpress.com/2018/05/ex-505-arbitrator-opinion.pdf). It is not feasible to conclude that Hernandez suppressed the forensic reports for any other reason other than to support Kugler, to punish me for revealing the connection. I filed a complaint against Kugler with the Judicial Counsel and refused to withdraw it.

The undeniable conclusion is that the USDCOR would rather aid a child predator, cybercriminal and identity thief in acquiring \$1 Million to retaliate for a critiques of the court, an absolute first amendment right.

In the Dugger trial, James Fottrell, director of the Department of Justice's High Technology Investigative Unit, spoke the longest and spoke last. Over several hours on a Thursday afternoon, with testimony continuing Friday, Fottrell detailed his personal forensic investigation of the three of Dugger's devices that investigators seized: a personal MacBook, an iPhone and the HP desktop in his office.

What follows is a comparison of the Dugger evidence and the evidence against Zweizig:

1. The Hard drive was partitioned to store the child porn:

Dugger: "the hard drive had in fact been split in two that May, with the other side using Linux — what another expert on Thursday described in testimony as "a separate computer on a computer, if you will."
Josh Dugger Trial Computer Analyst Tells What He Saw on Computer PEOPLE.com
(<https://thefirstdutyportland.files.wordpress.com/2021/12/josh-dugger-trial-computer-analyst-tells-what-he-saw-on-computer-people.com.pdf>).

Zweizig: Zweizig created a drive d, where he kept his child porn, porn, music and videos. Police Officer Williams Forensic Report 120ghd (<https://thefirstdutyportland.files.wordpress.com/2021/12/police-officer-williams-forensic-report-120ghd.pdf>).

2. A peer to peer program was installed to share the child porn:

Dugger: "A peer-to-peer file-sharing program, **uTorrent**, was also installed as was a media player. (It was a peer-to-peer program on the IP address at Dugger's car lot that first caught the police's attention in May 2019.)"

Zweizig: "this computer was at one point installed with an undetermined peer to peer file sharing program, and the shared folder contained files that the user made available to other p2p program users to copy." See Steve Williams report. [Subsequently Mark Cox identified the peer to peer program and that it was registered to Zweizig]. "The result of this analysis reveals several dates associated with video file names in a format typically associated with file sharing websites such as PirateBay, **BitTorrent** and **TorrentReactor**. Exhibit 4 Forensic Report Cox on 120 gig 120-17
(<https://thefirstdutyportland.files.wordpress.com/2019/07/exhibit-4-forensic-report-cox-on-120-gig-120-17.pdf>).

3. Child Porn was found:

Dugger: "For example, he said, his analysis showed the computer had thumbnail versions of the photos while he was able to also recover some deleted files and, through further examination, found evidence of downloaded and/or streamed videos like "pedomom" and "Daisy's Destruction" as well as viewed lewd images of an 8-to-12-year-old girl."

Zweizig: "older sisters get lesbian with little sister." [and many more]. Steve Williams Report.

4. The Child Porn was maintained on a business computer:

Dugger: "Fottrell detailed his personal forensic investigation of the three of Dugger's devices that investigators seized: a personal MacBook, an iPhone and the HP desktop in his office...but that HP machine was riddled with illicit material, Fottrell testified."

Zweizig: "I was asked to perform analysis of the additional contents of the Maxtor 120gb hard drive" [Zweizig's assigned business computer which was used by Zweizig from his home]. Steve Williams Report.

5. No one but the accused used the subject computer hard drive:

Duggar: "He reiterated the password for the Linux side: intel1988, which prosecutors argue was used by Duggar for some of his personal accounts (and also includes his year of birth). A former employee and distant Duggar relative suggested on the stand earlier Thursday they might also be aware of it — something prosecutors ridiculed as a convenient ploy."

Zweizig: "Following the November 12, 2003 reformatting of the hard drive [by Zweizig], the hard drive was not used or accessed and no subsequent dates or recovered files are present..." [Exhibit 5 Forensic Report Cox on 120 gig Doc 116-5.](https://thefirstdutyportland.files.wordpress.com/2019/07/exhibit-5-forensic-report-cox-on-120-gig-doc-116-5.pdf) (<https://thefirstdutyportland.files.wordpress.com/2019/07/exhibit-5-forensic-report-cox-on-120-gig-doc-116-5.pdf>)

6. The Police acquired custody of the hard drive before destruction:

Duggar: "Jeffrey Pryor, another special agent, testified briefly about the steps in seizing material during the 2019 search and Jeff Wofford, an executive with Covenant Eyes, testified about Duggar's use of the program (with his content filter set for the standard "mature teen") and how the monitoring could be evaded with a Linux partition. The defense pointed out a user could also simply not download the program on their hard drive to evade it."

Zweizig: Returned the reformatted 120 gig hard drive to his employer. It was not until almost two years after the return that the child porn was discovered. Unlike Duggar, Zweizig did reformat the hard drive maintaining it had failed. Mark Cox opined that the hard drive had not failed, that it was not reformatted when Zweizig maintained (May 2003), but rather was reformatted on his last day, November 12, 2003. [Exhibit 5 Forensic Report Cox on 120 gig Doc 116-5](https://thefirstdutyportland.files.wordpress.com/2019/07/exhibit-5-forensic-report-cox-on-120-gig-doc-116-5.pdf) (<https://thefirstdutyportland.files.wordpress.com/2019/07/exhibit-5-forensic-report-cox-on-120-gig-doc-116-5.pdf>). Because of a chain of custody issue with the 120 gig hard drive, the Police did not pursue prosecution.

Zweizig destroyed employer owned programming when reformatting the 120 gig hard drive. The programming was not found on any other server owned by his employer, servers that were controlled by Zweizig as Director of the IT department. Several experts testified that no foxpro programming could be found. After reformatting, the foxpro programming was no longer viable. [Exhibit 6 Forensic Report Cox on Foxpro Files Destroyed 120-2](https://thefirstdutyportland.files.wordpress.com/2019/07/exhibit-6-forensic-report-cox-on-foxpro-files-destroyed-120-2.pdf) (<https://thefirstdutyportland.files.wordpress.com/2019/07/exhibit-6-forensic-report-cox-on-foxpro-files-destroyed-120-2.pdf>).

Additional Evidence.

There is of course more evidence against Zweizig including his recent admissions.

In a December 2020 deposition, Zweizig bragged about his success that I and many others interpret to mean how he and his attorney (Joel Christiansen) were able to dupe the jury and the court. And he testified that his immediate past attorney no longer wanted to be associated with the raping of children [after reviewing the forensic reports produced to you today]. [Exhibit 12 2020-1221-MAXZWEIZIG.](https://thefirstdutyportland.files.wordpress.com/2021/11/exhibit-12-2020-1221-maxzweizig.pdf) (<https://thefirstdutyportland.files.wordpress.com/2021/11/exhibit-12-2020-1221-maxzweizig.pdf>)

This is what happens when one gets away with child porn and computer fraud. Perpetrators brag. After Zweizig gave his deposition and I published it, he then attempted to suppress his own testimony [PETITION FOR PRETRIAL ORDER \(https://thefirstdutyportland.files.wordpress.com/2021/11/petition-for-pretrial-order.pdf\)](https://thefirstdutyportland.files.wordpress.com/2021/11/petition-for-pretrial-order.pdf). This time the court did not allow it.

The USDCOR allowed Zweizig to keep the forensic reports from the jury. The Court allowed a letter I sent to Kugler into the trial as one of Zweizig's exhibits, arguing that I should be punished for critiquing the court. The court, by allowing the letter in, sent a message to me that critiques of the court will be punished by excluding evidence favoring my position about Zweizig and in other cases. Steve Williams forensic report was a part of the letter sent to Kugler. The attachment was excluded in the trial, from the jury.

Conclusion:

Just like Josh Duggar, Zweizig used an employer's computer assigned to him (in Zweizig's case from his home in Woodbury New Jersey) to download and disseminate child porn, porn, music and videos to and from others using a peer to peer program allowing those transfers. Both Zweizig and Duggar used business computers to conceal their child porn activities from family members and business associates.

Just like Josh Duggar, Zweizig should be in jail. Instead, here in Portland, he was assisted much to the shame of our judicial actors, one after the other providing favors that aided child predator Zweizig.

Until next time.

Tagged:

Computer Fraud And Abuse Act,
Josh Duggar,
judge Kugler,
judge Marco Hernandez,
Judge Michael Mosman,
Judge Richard Paez,
Max Zweizig,
Maxine Bernstein,
USDC Oregon

Published by Tim Rote



Business Owner, Author, Advocate. [View all posts by Tim Rote](#)

[Blog at WordPress.com.](#) [Do Not Sell My Personal Information](#)