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REPORTED REMOTELY FROM DESCHUTES COUNTY Monday, December 21, 2020, 10:04 a.m.

## MAX ZWEIZIG,

called as a witness herein in behalf of the Defendant, having been first duly sworn on oath by the Certified Shorthand Reporter, was examined and testified as follows:

## EXAMINATION

BY MR. ROTE:
Q. Can you state your full name for the record, please?
A. Maximilian Douglas Zweizig. And I want -now, that we're on the record, I would like to make sure that $I$ have on the record this deposition is being done under a little bit of duress.

The things that you're doing out there on the internet is not very fair to me being -- sitting in this position under this kind of pressure from you, answering questions from you, especially directly from you. So I want to make sure that was on the record. That's all.
Q. Have you ever been arrested and/or convicted of a felony or misdemeanor?
A. No, sir.
Q. What did you do to prepare for this deposition
today?
A. I drove over here.
Q. $\quad$ Have you discussed this lawsuit with anyone else, signed any statements or affidavits relating to this lawsuit or posted anything about this lawsuit on the internet?

MR. ALBERTAZZI: I would object to the extent that seeks any communication with me or any other attorney, otherwise you can answer.
A. No.

BY MR. ROTE:
Q. Your answer? what's your answer?
A. No.
Q. No. Have you read any witness statement or seen any other evidence before this deposition?
A. I don't think so.
Q. Do you have a history of drug or alcohol abuse?
A. No, I do not.
Q. Are you under the effect of any medication that may influence your ability to answer the questions presented to you in this deposition?
A. Presented to who?
Q. Presented to you.
A. No, sir.
Q. Would you like to review the transcript of this deposition and make any changes before it's entered into the record?
A. I would like to reserve that right. Yes. MR. ROTE: We would as well, Mr. Albertazzi. MR. ALBERTAZZI: So noted. MR. ROTE: Okay. MR. ALBERTAZZI: I haven't ordered a transcript yet. If I do, I'11 let you know. BY MR. ROTE:
Q. Your responses to discovery were paper thin. Have you provided every document that you have in your possession on the claims of this lawsuit?
A. To the best of my knowledge, yes.
Q. When did you discover the quitclaim deed? MR. ALBERTAZZI: Mr. Rote, would you mind clarifying which quitclaim deed you're talking about? MR. ROTE: April 24th, 2017, I believe is the date. The document was in your -- was in a deposition you took of us. Plaintiff's document 00001.

THE WITNESS: What are we referencing now?
BY MR. ROTE:
Q. The quitclaim deed, when did you discover the quitclaim deed?
A. I'm not going to answer any questions that go against attorney/client privilege.
Q. Did you discover it independently or was it provided to you by your attorney?
A. I'm not going to answer that question because it may violate attorney/client privilege.
Q. Your attorney's not made an objection on this question. It's not about attorney/client privilege.

Did you independently discover the quitclaim deed?
A. As I sit here today, I don't remember.
Q. When did you discover the warranty deed between Northwest Holding and Tanya Rote?
A. Do you have a piece of paper to show me or can you point to an exhibit?
Q. It's actually your exhibit. Your exhibit, page number three.

MR. ALBERTAZZI: I'm -- are you talking about, Mr. Rote, our deposition exhibits from when we took yours and Mrs. Rote's deposition last week?

MR. ROTE: Yes. That's correct. And it also
is the only two documents that were provided so far in discovery. The quitclaim deed and the warranty deed are the only two documents that you have provided in discovery.

BY MR. ROTE:
Q. Are you familiar with the warranty deed, Max?
A. I'm not sure which document you're referring to.
Q. You only provided two documents in response to discovery. It was one of two.
A. I've never heard the term "warranty deed". So I don't know what you're talking about.
Q. You have not looked at the data you provided, the documents you provided in discovery?
A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question?
Q. I said you have not looked at the documents you have provided in discovery?
A. I'm sure I have looked at them. As I sit here today, I don't remember is my answer.
Q. You don't remember looking at the warranty deed? That's your answer?
A. No. My answer is to your question when did I discover that.
Q. You don't remember that?
A. I believe I answered that.
Q. Was it -- to jog your memory, was it before counse1 -- before you hired counsel or after?
A. As I sit here today, I don't remember.
Q. I want to refer to Exhibit 1 that we provided,

Defendant's Exhibit 1, opinion and order.
(Exhibit 1 identified.)
Q. Do you recall engaging in fraudulent transfer litigation from 2014 to 2018?
A. I do recall that case. Yes, sir.
Q. And you recal1 the opinion by Judge Hernandez in favor of me and the other defendants?
A. Yes, sir. That is an interesting opinion. I don't agree with it, of course. That wasn't my case. I also find it extremely interesting that -- had you not done anything you did -- somehow you got away with that.

You know, somehow you flim-flammed and got away with that -- at that case. And had you not done any of the things that you did with your blog, you wouldn't owe me half a million dollars today. On7y because of your actions did you not walk away from this whole thing. That was really stupid, sir.

THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. Hold on. Hold on.
Your voice cut out. I didn't hear you.
BY MR. ROTE:
Q. Are you going to answer my questions or are you going to use this as a platform to make your statements?
A. Sir, I'm answering your questions. You've done a lot of things to me over the years and you requested
that I be here at this deposition. Frankly, I don't know what information I have for you, but you seem to think I have some information for you, so I'm appearing at this deposition as I am supposed to do.

And you have a very aggravated witness here because you have been unrelenting for 20 years torturing myself, my family, my attorneys. You have successfully denied me my right to counsel by asking one of my attorneys, Given your age how many children --

MR. ROTE: Mr. Albertazzi, I'm going to object to --
A. -- how many children have you raped. okay. You asked my attorney how many children he has raped, sir. You like to fly under the radar and you like to do these actions and then you like to step back from them, like, you know, oh, I didn't do that.

Or I don't know what you think, but everybody else sees your actions. And I think it's pretty important that everybody sees your actions, sir. Your credibility has stretched beyond belief and perhaps you should consider that before you keep going as your own attorney.

You walked into a courtroom with $\$ 150,000$ against you and walked out losing a million. You're not good at it, sir. You should probably stop.

MR. ROTE: You have the benefit of continued
counse1 all this time.
MR. ALBERTAZZI: Objection. Do not answer anything related to communications or agreements between yourself and me or any other attorney that represented you.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
BY MR. ROTE:
Q. Do you recal1 a deposition in August of 2017 on the same fraudulent transfer case?
A. I do not.
Q. You do not remember having your deposition taken in August 2016?
A. I had a lot of depositions taken as a result of the things that you've done to me, my family, and my attorneys. And also included judges once in a while. So no, $I$ do not.
Q. Do you recal1 in August 2016 that Linda Marshal1 admitting to having driven by the Sunriver property?
A. You have a deposition that you can show me that indicates that?
Q. No, I do not.
A. Are you telling me that Linda Marshall said this in a deposition?
Q. I'm saying the August 2016 deposition she
admitted to driving by the Sunriver house.
when did you discover the ownership of the Sunriver property or the existence of the Sunriver property?
A. That would go against attorney/client privilege. I do not remember the date and I will tell you that. And I have no idea where you're going with Linda Marshal1. No. I don't remember anything that you're talking about about that.
Q. You placed a lien on the Sunriver property as well as a lis pendens. Are you aware of that?

Did you hear my question?
A. I don't know.
Q. Ward Greene's firm, williams Kastner, placed the lien on the Sunriver property?
A. okay.
Q. Do you know that?
A. Yes, sir.

Also, I'm going to trust what you're saying in that. And believe me that's tough. I don't trust a lot of what you say, but if you're saying that happened I have to believe that you're consulting a piece of paper that indicates that. so, fine.
Q. Yeah. We -- I can continue to give you the same kind rhetoric back and forth so --
A. You do whatever you want, sir. This is your deposition --
Q. And I'm trying to take it.
A. You do whatever you want.

THE REPORTER: Excuse me.
MR. ROTE: I'm trying to take it.
THE REPORTER: Hold on. I can only report one person at a time.

BY MR. ROTE:
Q. Ready to go on?
A. You asked me here, sir. Some of your answers -- I have been -- I have been asked to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth.

That whole truth part seems to be the problem where you're concerned. So a lot of times you're asking me questions that have other circumstances surrounding them and I'm going to make sure they're mentioned.
Q. Are you ready to go on? I've got lots of other questions for you.
A. I'm sure you do.
Q. Okay. You're aware that the lis pendens caused the sale of the property to fail?
A. I'm not aware -- no. I'm not aware of that. If that property failed, I was not there with you. I don't know the particulars of what happened there. Properties
fail for a lot of reasons. I have no idea why your property failed to sell.
Q. How long have you been following or aware of the Sunriver property?
A. As I sit here today, I don't remember.
Q. Was it in 2004, 2015, 2016?

Does that jog your memory?
A. I believe I answered your question.
Q. Joel Christiansen owns half the judgment you referred to earlier?
A. I believe you may be talking about an attorney.
Q. That's correct.
A. I don't know what Joel Christiansen owns or has.
Q. What role did Joel Christiansen play in filing the lis pendens?

Do you know?
A. You have to be aware of these areas that you're going into that have already been discussed that I'm not supposed to go into.

THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. What was that?
MR. ROTE: I said there was no objection to that question.

THE REPORTER: Thank you.
A. If you need me to tell you again that I'm not going to go into answers that would go into attorney/client privilege, then I'11 be happy to say that as my answer. BY MR. ROTE:
Q. So we need to note that for the record because that is not an attorney/client privilege question. when did you discover the Sunriver property was being marketed for rent on VRBO?
A. As I sit here today, I don't remember.
Q. Have you filed a malpractice claim against Williams Kastner?

MR. ALBERTAZZI: Objection as to relevance. You can answer it.
A. No.

BY MR. ROTE:
Q. Why was williams Kastner fired?

MR. ALBERTAZZI: object.
the witness: I would like to answer that question.

MR. ALBERTAZZI: Hold on.
A. Yeah. I'm sorry.
williams Kastner quit because you sent an e-mail to ward saying, Given your age how many children have you raped. You denied me that right to counsel.

Thank you for asking the question.

BY MR. ROTE:
Q. Are you aware that Michael Montag who represented us -- Northwest Holding at the time -- I want to refer you to Exhibit 2.
(Exhibit 2 identified.)
Q. Do you have it?
A. Not yet, sir.

MR. ALBERTAZZI: If it's possible to enable screen sharing, I can bring these up if that would be easier.

THE WITNESS: I think I have it now. If I can't get one, sir, I'll let you know, but $I$ got this one.

MR. ALBERTAZZI: A11 right.
BY MR. ROTE:
Q. Are you aware that Michael Montag offered you alternative property as opposed to pursue this lawsuit against Tanya Rote?
A. Sir, the award -- the half-million-dollar award given by the journey -- the jury -- sorry -- was a cash award. Not interested in getting into a land deal with a completely untrustworthy person.

So you can sell your 1and. You can sel1 whatever you need to se11 and I imagine you probably will have to. And you can pay your judgment. The award was for
a cash judgment.
Q. -- to do so. That is correct.
A. I'm sorry. I talked over you. Can you say that again?
Q. Yeah. I'm just restating. You refuse to accept that property?
A. Sir, the property had no objective value. It's a subjective value commodity. You can't even say a statement of, here, $I$ am offering you $X$ amount of money. It is a subjective commodity, so it doesn't even work. You can't just offer something and say whatever --

I'm sorry. What's that, sir?
Q. Do you consider yourself a real estate expert?
A. No, I don't, but I consider myself to have common sense.
Q. Let's go to Exhibit 3 please.
(Exhibit 3 identified.)
A. I have it.
Q. Okay. On the last page, can you give me the date that that was signed on page four?
A. This is your document, sir.
Q. It is.
A. It is.
Q. When did you --
A. I'm not going to discuss your documents.

These are your documents for your case. And I'm not going to discuss your documents for your case.

MR. ROTE: Mr. Albertazzi, I'm having a very difficult time deposing Max. He seems to want to interject a commentary here.

I'm trying to decide -- get an observation from him, a comment, or an acknowledgment of when this document -- when he first became aware of this document.

MR. ALBERTAZZI: And so your question is when did you first become aware of Deposition Exhibit Number $3 ?$

MR. ROTE: Correct.
MR. ALBERTAZZI: Okay. So you can answer that, Mr. Zweizig.

THE WITNESS: Okay. As I sit here today, I don't remember when I became aware of this. I basically would challenge the authenticity of almost any document you said you prepared. You forged subpoenas to other attorneys before. I mean, it's very difficult to trust any document that you --

MR. ROTE: Enough. Enough of the nonsense.
okay. Let's get to the questions. Answer my questions. You'11 have your day in court if that's what you choose and you can show up and do that.

THE WITNESS: No. You're choosing that, sir.
MR. ROTE: Right now --
THE WITNESS: You're choosing the day in court.

MR. ROTE: This is not your deposition. This is my deposition. I'd 1ike --

THE WITNESS: I understand that.
MR. ROTE: -- like to be able to complete it without --

THE WITNESS: I understand.
MR. ROTE: -- without the ongoing commentary. okay?

THE WITNESS: I appreciate that desire. I appreciate you not telling me it's my day in court and I want it. I don't want it. I want you to pay your judgment. And you can go on and have all the real estate and houses and everything that you want.

Your life doesn't concern me, sir. What you've done to mine does. So don't tell me that I'm looking for a day in court. You're the one causing al1 of this to happen.

MR. ROTE: You filed this lawsuit.
THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. You're not paying your judgment. You need to pay your judgment. If you think I'm not going to try and get you to pay
your judgment -- if you choose not to pay it, then that would be silly on your part.

BY MR. ROTE:
Q. How about Exhibit 4?

Can you take a look at Exhibit 4?
(Exhibit 4 identified.)
A. I have it.
Q. Okay. When did you first receive notice of this Exhibit 4 from williams kastner?

MR. ALBERTAZZI: I guess I'm going to object if you're asking him when he got information from williams Kastner because that could get into attorney/client privilege.

The question is when did he become aware of the existence of this agreement. I mean, is that what you're trying to ask?

If you can narrow it that way, you know, that might help. when did he become aware of the existence of this document. Not assuming that it's valid -- not valid, authenticate or not. When did he become aware. I don't have any objection to that. BY MR. ROTE:
Q. Okay. When did you become aware of this document?
A. As I sit here today, I don't remember.
Q. This document was filed almost two years ago. Does that jog your memory?
A. That's something you're saying. I don't believe you.
Q. The document was filed in a summary judgment motion almost two years ago.
A. If you say so.
Q. Does that jog your memory?
A. No. It does not jog my memory.
Q. Let's go to Exhibit 5 please.
(Exhibit 5 identified.)
A. I have it.
Q. All right. On the left-hand side about three quarters of the way down on J, area J, you see Tanya Rote's ownership percentage of Northwest holding?
A. This is your tax return or somebody else's tax return. It's not mine.
Q. It's Northwest Holding's tax return.
A. Okay. I don't know anything about Northwest Holding's tax return. I'm not comfortable commenting on documents for Northwest Holding.

MR. ALBERTAZZI: what's the question about
item J, Mr. Rote? Maybe you can just ask the question.

BY MR. ROTE:
Q. The ownership percentage, you see that on item J?
A. okay. I see it.
Q. okay. what does it say?
A. Says profit, loss, capital, beginning, ending.
Q. What are the percentages?
A. I don't know. 25, 25, 25. Isn't that
supposed to add up to something? Is it 75 it's supposed to add up to? I don't understand what I'm looking at. This is your document.

And, again, I would challenge the authenticity of any document that you would give me.

MR. ROTE: That gets old, Mr. Albertazzi.
THE WITNESS: It can get hold. You're right. It has gotten old.

MR. ALBERTAZZI: Are you asking him just to repeat what he sees on that?

MR. ROTE: He answered 25 percent. That's fine. It's the after document commentary. You know, we showed I think professional courtesy to you during our depositions. And I'm going to -- I'm going to ask that of Mr. Zweizig if he can summon that up.

THE WITNESS: Well, I'm trying, sir, but like I explained in the beginning of this, you're putting myself and my family in danger while you're asking me
to come here and give a deposition. So I'm sorry for your 1ook.

MR. ALBERTAZZI: So 1et's proceed with the questions.

THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. I cannot hear you.
BY MR. ROTE:
Q. Let's go to Exhibit 7 please.
(Exhibit 7 identified.)
A. I have it.
Q. So that is a -- I'11 represent to you that's a VRBO home-away marketing statement that we provided to your counse1.

Do you see that Tanya Rote's identified up above as an owner and member?
A. I see that.
Q. All right. Let's go to Exhibit 8 please.
(Exhibit 8 identified.)
A. I have it.
Q. Okay. This a declaration from your attorney tear Taryn Basauri, former attorney. Notes that the quitclaim -- on paragraph three the quitclaim was dated Apri1 24th, 2017. It was submitted as a document in opposition to the motion for summary judgement.

Do you see that?
MR. ALBERTAZZI: Mr. Rote.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I didn't hear.
MR. ALBERTAZZI: I'm sorry. which paragraph number did you say? I'm just trying to follow along.

MR. ROTE: Paragraph three, three.
THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question?
BY MR. ROTE:
Q. Referring to paragraph three, do you see that Taryn Basauri has admitted into evidence there for the first time the quitclaim deed? You see it by reference?
A. I do.
Q. Go ahead and read that paragraph.
okay. And the date of that -- the date of that deposition by Taryn Basauri on page two, do you see it's dated March 1st, 2019, on page two?
A. Looking for it. You're saying there's a date there?
Q. Right after --
A. Yeah. I see it.
Q. okay.
A. I got it.
Q. okay. Referring back to paragraph four, you see that she made reference -- Taryn did -- to the secretary of state's documentation as to a member's interest on that date, December 11, 2017 ?
A. Couple things. I don't understand what a
member's interest is. what is that?
Q. That's the question we're going to get to.

Do you understand LLC or partnership law at a11, tax law or any other?
A. No.
Q. No. So do you understand that a member has an ownership interest in an LLC or partnership?

Do you understand that?
A. You're telling me that right now. Are you saying that the members are you and Tanya.
Q. Members are me and Tanya. They were.
A. Oh. Any other members?
Q. Even if that were true no other members need to be disclosed. Do you understand that?
A. Sure.
Q. Okay. And on that same document dated March 1, 2019, it is on paragraph five, do you see that it is referencing a general warranty deed of the Sunriver property?

Do you see that?
A. Yeah. I see what it says.
Q. Would these be the approximate dates then that on or before this declaration was provided that you became aware of the quitclaim and warranty deed or was it before that?
A. As I sit here today, I don't remember.
Q. Okay. Like to look at Exhibit Number 9 please.
(Exhibit 9 identified.)
A. I have it.
Q. Okay. Would you go to paragraph three on the second page, starting at line one?
A. You're saying second page?
Q. Page two.
A. Got it.
Q. Line one.
A. Yep.
Q. You see it says, My office informed

Mr. Zweizig of these transfers?
A. I see that.
Q. You also see that, Mr. Zweizig also informed my office that Mr. Rote has previously been listed as an owner of the Sunriver property on VRBO?
A. VRBO is what? Is that a website?
Q. It is. It's a marketing website --
A. Okay.
Q. -- for vacation rental property.

You see that Ms. Basauri is acknowledging that you were -- you informed her or her office about some content on the Sunriver property that was on VRBO?

Do you see that on lines two and three?
A. Yeah. I see it.
Q. Okay. Do you see on line five that in a addition to other information provided by Mr. Zweizig's internet research?
A. I'm not seeing the words "internet research". Sorry.
Q. It's on line five.
A. okay. Got it.
Q. Can you te11 me what that internet research was?
A. I don't remember.
Q. Can you tell me when you did that internet research?
A. I cannot. I don't remember.
Q. Remember how far back -- do you happen to know when you discovered the Sunriver property?
A. I think I answered that, but as I sit here today I don't remember that.

I'm going to need a break in about five minutes.
Q. Probably a good time to break if you'd like.
A. Okay.
(Pause in proceedings at 10:36 a.m.)
(Proceedings resumed at 10:42 a.m.)
Q. Al1 right. I think we left off with Exhibit 9 and I want to restate again for the record -- or ask the question again how long you've been following the Sunriver property on VRBO?
A. I believe $I$ answered that.
Q. Do you have any documents that you turned over to -- that you saved that would identify when you discovered the Sunriver property? It appears that Taryn Basauri makes reference to information you provided.
A. I believe I've turned over all the documents I have.

THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. What was the question?

BY MR. ROTE:
Q. I asked if there were additional documents that Taryn -- that Mr. Zweizig has turned over or identified or saved that he should have turned over by now by reference to Taryn Basauri's statement in the Exhibit Number 9.
A. That was a long time ago. As I sit here today, I don't remember.
Q. So I, again, restate that you've only turned over two documents to us in discovery. And Taryn Basauri's declaration makes it clear that you provided information to her, including documents.

Do you not have those documents? Is that your position?
A. As I sit here today, I don't remember. There may be things that were printed out from a website that you had. It's your website. So it may be a document that you already have. I don't know.

MR. ROTE: Well, I'11 note for Mr. Albertazzi that according to Taryn Basauri there are other documents that Mr. Zweizig has provided on his discovery data of VRBO that we're still looking to receive from you.

THE WITNESS: If you can identify any documents, I'd be happy to turn them over. Like I'm telling you, $I$ don't know if documents that you're alleging are missing were responsive or not. BY MR. ROTE:
Q. We11, we'11 have to -- the Exhibit Number 9 made reference to that and you've just been deposed on that. And it is clear that you provided other documents to Taryn Basauri on your discovery data of the Sunriver property. So we do, in fact, want those documents.
A. That's fine. I'm saying -- to clear it up -it's not clear to me. So you're saying it's clear. Fine.
Q. Good. Go to Exhibit Number 10.
(Exhibit 10 identified.)
Q. Do you have it?
A. Not yet.

Yes, I have it.
Q. Okay. I'11 represent to you that this is a rental agreement between Northwest Holding and a renter of that property.

Under paragraph one, under term, do you see the date there?
A. I see the date there.
Q. And is it November 29th -- 25th to November 29th, 2013?
A. That's what the document says.

THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. Your voice cut out again.

BY MR. ROTE:
Q. I'd like to go to the last page of that same document.
A. I'm at the last page.
Q. Do you see that Tanya Rote is listed as manager and, in fact, signed that document?
A. I see on the document that there is a signature that reads in handwriting Tanya Rote.
Q. She's identified as the manager?
A. Underneath whoever signed it that says Tanya Rote, it says manager Tanya Rote. Yes, sir.
Q. And the date it was executed, do you see May 24th, 2013?
A. I do see that.
Q. Okay. Back up to the page two, it says, Please make checks payable. It's about the middle of the page. Do you see that?
A. I do see that.
Q. And it says Northwest Holding LLC.

Do you see that?
A. I do see that.
Q. A11 right. Go to Exhibit Number 11 please.
A. Is that your company you're saying that has those members? Is that what you're saying?
Q. Go to Exhibit 11 please.
(Exhibit 11 identified.)
Q. Do you have it?
A. There it is. Sorry. Go ahead.
Q. So you see that this is a sell document. If you look on line two, do you see who the seller is?
A. I see that.
Q. And you see on line four that it is the Sunriver property that's the topic of this -- subject of this litigation?
A. I see that as wel1.
Q. okay. I'11 represent to you that this is an
offer that failed because of the lis pendens you filed. were you aware of that?
A. I think I already answered I'm not aware why any real estate sales that you made were successful or failed. I'm not there with you.
Q. Do you know that the lis pendens caused a lien that caused the buyers to want to exit the purchase of the property?
A. That's a lot of clauses. And I'm not there. I don't know. I don't know how I can answer your question. I'm not trying to be difficult. I'm just -- I wasn't there with you. I don't know.
Q. Do you know what a lis pendens is?
A. Not really.
Q. Okay. Your attorney hasn't disclosed to you or educated you on what a lis pendens is?
A. Can't answer that question.
Q. You can answer that question.
mR. ALbertazzi: No. hold on. I'm just going to instruct him not to answer that, Mr. Rote. You're asking him what his attorney has educated him about. It's fine to ask him if he knows what something is.

I don't object to that, but I object to your asking him what he learned or what communications were made by his attorney to him because that's
attorney/client privilege.
BY MR. ROTE:
Q. Did Sandra wear (ph) educate you on what a lis pendens is?
A. Sir, I'm not going to talk to you about other people.
Q. Is Sandra wear still your fiancé?
A. I really don't believe that's any of your business. And given what you've done with anybody that comes in contact with me, I don't think that that is very responsible of me to talk about anyone. I will tell you that your information is sadly out of date. That's the answer you're going to get.
Q. The Clackamas County case 19CV14552 you were represented by the Professional Liability Fund; is that correct?
A. Are you telling me I was represented by an attorney?
Q. Nina Cook who was hired by the Professional Liability Fund. Can you confirm that?
A. I believe I did have Nina Cook as somebody I knew in regard to all this.
Q. Did you file a malpractice claim against Linda Marshal1?
A. No.
Q. Can you te11 me why the PLF represented you during that litigation?
A. I think they have a phone number. I think you're starting to get into an area of conversations with an attorney that -- I don't think that's an area we should get into. You're welcome to ask them.
Q. You don't know why you were represented by the PLF?

MR. ALBERTAZZI: I guess I would object on relevance. He can answer it if he knows as long as it doesn't venture into what would -- what he was advised by an attorney.
A. Yeah. Because of the attorney/client privilege area, $I$ don't think $I$ can answer that. BY MR. ROTE:
Q. Did you file this lawsuit to just harass Tanya Rote?
A. No, sir. I am trying to collect a half million dollar that will likely in my opinion turn into a million dollar judgment against you which you could simply pay. Anything happening to Tanya Rote, you're causing. You don't need to be doing any of this.
Q. You filed this lawsuit against Tanya Rote?
A. I believe this is fraudulent transfer lawsuit. And as I've told you, I'm not an attorney. I'm not sure
you're characterizing this properly, but if you say that that's how it's worded, then that's how it's worded.

MR. ROTE: I don't have any other questions.
MR. ALBERTAZZI: I just wanted to do a little bit of follow-up just to -- just to clear up the record here on this discovery issue.

I am going to e-mail an exhibit here. I've got two exhibits actually. And, Mr. Rote, I'm going to e-mail those to you and then the court reporter. I'm going to e-mail those to her as well, so that I can put these in the record. So just give me a moment here while I do that. And once you receive them, please let me know.

MR. ROTE: what e-mail address are you using?
MR. ALBERTAZZI: I'm using
Tim@rote-enterprises.com or which one do you want me to use?

MR. ROTE: That's fine.
MR. ALBERTAZZI: Okay. So the first -- I just sent it and it has two attachments. The first one is named Discovery Responses. And I would like to have that be Exhibit Number 12 to this deposition.
(Exhibit 12 identified.)
Q. The second one is called Twitter post and I'd like that to be Exhibit 13.
(Exhibit 13 identified.)
MR. ROTE: The first one appears to be your deposition exhibit responses; is that accurate?

MR. ALBERTAZZI: Yes. So Exhibit 12 is the formal response that my office did to your request for production of documents. And you -- and I see attached onto there some documents starting at 000001 to 14 .

THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. I can't hear you, Mr. Rote.

MR. ROTE: I said those are documents that I have also represented -- presented here in this deposition as well as the quitclaim and warranty deed and the others. I think a title report. So, yeah.

MR. ALBERTAZZI: Okay. So, anyway, there was some comments made before that only two documents had been produced. And I wanted to clarify for the record that -- that this here is what was produced, which is Exhibit Number 12, was the response to production of documents.

And I think, Mr. Rote, you acknowledge here that, yes, you did receive that?

MR. ROTE: Correct.
MR. ALBERTAZZI: As to discovery from other attorneys, I just wanted to clarify that to my
knowledge there has just been one request for production of documents from you, Mr. Rote. And I've actually reproduced those here in the response. They number from 1 to 47.

Are there any other discovery requests, document requests, in this case that you have submitted to Mr. Zweizig?

MR. ROTE: Yes. We submitted a request for production to williams Kastner some time ago as you know and -- but I think that these requests are identical. So they did not respond to it, so I think --

MR. ALBERTAZZI: So what I am hearing from you is that williams Kastner did not produce anything in response to your request; is that accurate?

MR. ROTE: That's correct.
MR. ALBERTAZZI: okay. Just clarifying.
So this was intended to -- Exhibit 12 was intended to be the complete response, so I just wanted to have that put on the record for clarification purposes.

And if you have additional questions relating to that, you can certainly ask them.

The next item is Exhibit Number 13. And this is a Twitter post that I brought up during your
deposition, Mr. Rote, last week. And you asked me to e-mail this to you which I did.

MR. ROTE: Correct.
MR. ALBERTAZZI: okay. I wanted to know if this is something that -- this type of public communication is going to continue or if it's going to stop during the pendency of this litigation while we're not wanting to taint the jury?

MR. ROTE: So I have taken that down at your request or at least $I$ interpreted that to be your request.

MR. ALBERTAZZI: A11 right. And that this type of communication then won't -- won't be posted while the case is pending; is that our agreement?

MR. ROTE: No. I haven't necessarily agreed to that. I have agreed to curtail anything that is that specific, but I'11 continue to post on my blog. MR. ALBERTAZZI: Okay. But this Twitter post which is Exhibit 13 has been taken down you say? MR. ROTE: At your request I took it down. MR. ALBERTAZZI: Okay. All right. And, once again, it's not -- the purpose here -- main purpose is to not have communications out there that could contaminate the jury pool.

What you say that's not related to this case
is certainly something that you can do should you choose to do that, but these kind of personal attacks on Mr. Zweizig and his attorneys is not appropriate and I'm hoping it doesn't continue.

If it does continue, 1 '11 take it up with the court. I'm assuming based on our discussions today it won't, but if it does, I will take it up with the court.

MR. ROTE: There may be a time when we need to do that.

MR. ALBERTAZZI: Okay. And so I just wanted to make that clear.

So with that, I don't have any follow-up. And are you done with your questioning now, sir?

MR. ROTE: Yeah.
MR. ALBERTAZZI: Okay. So we can close this deposition. And then I just wanted to make sure the court reporter got those exhibits.

If you didn't get them, please let me know.
And I guess we'11 go ahead and conclude. I'm going to log off.

MR. ROTE: Okay.
MR. ALBERTAZZI: Thank you.
(Deposition concluded at 11:05 a.m.)
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